UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/545,288	04/07/2000	Stephane Ayala	032326-057	1602
	7590 12/13/2007 INGERSOLL & ROON		EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			TRINH, MINH N	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com debra.hawkins@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
i		
Office Action Summary	09/545,288	AYALA ET AL.
Cince Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN WIND DATE AND	Minh Trinh	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 11 April 2007.	
	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	•	• •
Disposition of Claims		
 4)	9 is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority doc		Application No.
2. Certified copies of the priority doc3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	
application from the International	•	in received in this mational stage
* See the attached detailed Office action fo		t received.
	•	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 09/545,288

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fidalgo (5,598,032).

Fidalgo discloses a method for manufacturing smart card having at least two turn antenna 5, a pair of connection pads 15 that are both disposed on the common side of the antenna turns, a support sheet 3 (note that both antenna turns and pads are on same size of the substrate 3), connecting the end of the antenna to one of the connection pads by insulating bridge 6 (see Fig. 3 for the teaching of the above, further also refer to the discussion at col. 3, lines 35-36).

Note: reference 6 as broadly as readable as Insulation Bridge for connecting of the antenna and the connection pads as recited in claim 1.

As applied to claim 2, Noting related Fig. 5 of the Fidalgo shows the insulation covering the antenna turns 5 in one zone as right hand side of Fig. 3 further the other zone as opposed to the one zone where a conductive element as 11 being connected to the outer end as top of the antenna 5 (see related Fig. 5 and the discussion at col. 3, lines 64-to col. 4, line 5).

Application/Control Number: 09/545,288

Art Unit: 3729

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 14 and 15-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fidago.

As applied to claim 14, regarding the module is formed by a solder with a low melting point. As applied to claim 14, it is inherent that to use low melting solder for connection module to the antenna in order to protect the extremely heat that may damage to the forming device.

As applied to claims 15-20, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art to incorporate the use of particular materials as recited in these claims since it was known in the art that selecting a material from a host of group of available materials on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Limitations of claims 16-20 are similar to that as discussed above.

As applied to claims 21-23, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose any desired means for connection between the connection pads of the antenna and the conductive pads of the module including the use of grease charged, silicon gasket charged, and/or additional gold deposited by thermal compression since applicant has not disclosed that these features are critical, patentably distinguishing features and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the

Art Unit: 3729

conventional bonding techniques as taught by each of the prior art reference (i.e., see the discussion of Fidago at cols. 5-6).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 2/12/07 have been acknowledged.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 4, 13 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base 6. claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Claims 45-46 are allowed (see reasons as provided from Advisory Action dated 7. 2/2/07).

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 8. applicant's disclosure. Prior art references are cited for their teaching of method of manufacturing chip card or smart card devices.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 9. examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/545,288

Art Unit: 3729

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mt

/Minh Trinh/ Primary Examiner, 3729

12/5/07